

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1/1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/600,523	09/11/2000		Luciano Rabboni	P-3021.001LP	P-3021.001LP 5150	
7590 03/23/2004			,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
John C Evans	3		WONG, LESLIE A			
Reising Ething	ton Bar	rnes Kisselle		·		
Learman & Mo	Culloc	h	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PO Box 4390			1761			
Troy, MI 48099-4390				DATE MAILED: 02/22/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/60	00,523	RABBONI, LUCIANO					
Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit					
	Leslie	Wong	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears or	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c If the period for reply specified above is less than thir If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three monte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In operations, in the properties of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In the properties of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in the statutory period will apply a sply will, by statute, cause the safter the mailing date of the safter the mailing date.	no event, nowever, may a reply be t e statutory minimum of thirty (30) da and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e application to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ınication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 04 Decemb	<u>er 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action	is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 86-101 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) i 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 86-101 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a)□ accepted o	or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any c				·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of the control o								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Internation from the Internat	f: rity documents have rity documents have es of the priority doc ational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applica cuments have been received. Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Sta	ige				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/600,523

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 86-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 86, 98, and their dependent claims are indefinite as to "possibly" as it is not clear what components are claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 51-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al.

Kahn et al disclose an apple filling comprising water, dextrose-fructose syrup (sweetening agent), starch (thickening agent), potassium sorbate (preservative agent), ascorbic acid (antioxidant agent), and citric acid solution (acidifying agent), see entire patent, especially columns 12-14 and Example 3.

The claims differ as to the specific recitation of confection unit.

Kahn et al also disclose the use of the claimed product in sweet foods such as puddings, pie fillings, donut filling, ice cream, pancake batter, cake batter, and yogurt

Application/Control Number: 09/600,523

Art Unit: 1761

(see claims 31 and 32). It is also noted that "confection" broadly encompasses sweet foods and that fillings and puddings are flowable.

It is notoriously well-known in the art to pre-mix certain components when preparing a food product. For example, ingredients are often pre-mixed and set aside until the desired time, such as fruit, cornstarch, sugar, and lemon juice when preparing pies or fruit and spices before adding to a batter.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the claimed compound as taught by Kahn et al in a confection as taught by Kahn et al because it is well-known in the art to use flowable fruited compounds in confections.

Attention is invited to In re Levin, 84 USPQ 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. In re Benjamin D. White, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 USPQ 267; In re Mason et al., 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 USPQ 221.

Applicant's arguments filed December 4, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,523

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues Kahn et al fails to teach any kind of fruit and that Kahn includes additional components such as spices.

Applicant does not attach criticality to the fruit selection. Furthermore, Kahn et al contemplate a multitude of fruits (see claim 18).

Applicant's claims do not exclude additional components.

All of the claimed components are taught by the prior art. All of the components are used for their art-recognized function to obtain expected results. For example, Applicant states on pages 8 and 9 that "the antioxidant agent prevents oxidation" and that "(t)he stabilizing and thickening agent modifies the final consistency." These are art-recognized functions.

In the absence of unexpected result, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability. No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/600,523 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jestie Wong Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW March 17, 2004